2 / WAY 2005

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 1 0 NOV 2003

WIPO	 COT
VVICO	. PO I

Applies	nte or	agonthe Ele and				WIPO	PCT		
P306	12A/G		FOR FURTHE	R ACTION	See Notification	n of Transmittal of Inten amination Report (Form	national PCT/IPEA/416)		
PC1/GB02/02/30			International filing of 14.06.2002		th/year)	Priority date (day/mor 12.02.2002	Priority date (day/month/year) 12.02.2002		
Internat	ional P	atent Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classificat	lion and IPC		L			
G09F	21/04								
Applicat							· <u> </u>		
AGRIF	PA LIN	IITED et al.							
1. T	his inte uthorit	ernational preliminary examy and is transmitted to the	nination report has I	been prepare	ed by this Inten	national Preliminary I	Examining		
			applicant according	to Anicle 36).	•			
2. Th	nis RF	PORT consists of a total of			•				
,		PORT consists of a total of	/ sneets, including	g this cover :	sheet.				
×	Th	is report is also accompani en amended and are the ba	ed by ANNEXES, i	.e. sheets of	the description) claime and by decide			
	Dei (se	en amended and are the base Rule 70.16 and Section (asis for this report a	and/or sheets	containing red	tifications made before	ings which have ore this Authority		
Th		nnexes consist of a total of		anve msiru	wons under the	e PCT).	•		
		mand delibiot of a total of	9 Sileets.						
	 -					EPO			
							DG,		
3. Thi	is repo	rt contains indications rela	ting to the following	items:		27.02 ₂₁	• /		
1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion	_			- 4	104		
H		Priority				(107)			
113	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of opi	inion with regard to	novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV		Lack of utility of invention	l						
V	Ø	Reasoned statement und citations and explanations	ler Rule 66.2(a)(ii) v s supporting such s	with regard to	o novelty, inver	ntive step or industria	I applicability;		
VI		Certain documents cited	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
VII		Certain defects in the inte	rnational applicatio	ท					
VIII	U	Certain observations on t	he international app	lication					
					•		Ī		
							1		
ate of submission of the demand			Date of con	pletion of this re	eport				
6.08.2003									
			07.11.200	03					
ame and mailing address of the international			Authorized Officer						
enminary (ellminary examining authority: European Patent Office				Juicer		The state of the s		
<i>)</i>))	D-80 Tel.	1298 Munich +49 89 2399 - 0 Tv: 522ccc	nmu d	Whittingto	ın, J				
rax +49 09 2399 · 4485				Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2781					
						·	\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"\"		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB02/02730

	I.	Basis of the report					
	1.	 With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): 					
		Description, Pages					
		1-25	as originally filed				
	•	Claims, Numbers					
		received on 16.08.2003 with letter of 12.08.2003					
	1	Drawings, Sheets					
	1	1/6-6/6	as originally filed				
With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Auth language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which							
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (years Dub as a						
		and language of pr	solication of the international application (under Rule 48 3/6)				
		the language of a Rule 55.2 and/or 5	translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 5.3).				
3	in	lith regard to any nuc ternational preliminar	eleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the yexamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the in	ternational application in written form.				
		filed together with	the international application in computer readable form				
		rumished subsequi	ently to this Authority in written form.				
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in computer readable form				
		The statement that in the international	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure				
		The statement that listing has been fun	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence nished.				
ŧ.	The	e amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
	۵.	the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB02/02730

5		This report has been est been considered to go be	ablished eyond ti	d as if (some	e of) the ar e as filed (mendments ha Rule 70.2(c)).	ıd not been r	nade, since	e they have
		(Any replacement sheet report.)					red to under	item 1 and	annexed to this
6.	Ac	dditional observations, if ned	essary	:					
181	. No	on-establishment of opinion	n with	regard to r	novelty, in	ventive sten	and industri	ial amulta-	L 11%
1.	 Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in property. 							Dility	
		·	• •		been exar	nined in respe	ect of:	ive step (to	be non-
		the entire international ap	plication	٦,					
	⊠ claims Nos. 21,28,40								
		because:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							r which does	
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 21,28,40 a unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						1,28,40 are so			
		see separate sheet				,			
1		the claims, or said claims N could be formed.	los. are	so inadequ	ately supp	orted by the d	lescription th	at no mear	ningful opinion
ĺ		no international search rep	ort has	been establ	ished for th	he said claime	Noo		
2. / i	 no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or lnstructions: 							ucleotide and/or tive	
0	J .	the written form has not bee	n furnis	shed or does	s not comp	aly with the Sta	and and		
	3 1	the computer readable form	has no	t been fumi	shod or d-	ny with the Sta	anuard.		
V. A	leas itati	oned statement under Ar ions and explanations sur	ticle 35 porting	(2) with reg g such stat	jard to no ement	velty, inventi	ve step or in	ndustrial a	pplicability;
		ment							
N	ove	ity (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1,36				
Inventive step (IS)			Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1,36				
in	dust	rial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1,36				
2. Cit	atio	ns and explanations							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB02/02730

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB02/02730 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- 1). Although claims 21,28 and 40 have been drafted as separate independent claims additional to those examined in this IPER, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection.
- 1.1). Hence, these claims do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.
- 1.2). There should in this case be only a single independent claim in each category followed by dependent claims covering features which are merely optional (Rule 6.4 PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 2002/056215 A1 (BAUER PAUL F ET AL) 16 May 2002 (2002-05-16)

D2: FR-A-2 821 309 (TRANSPORTS MICHEL) 30 August 2002 (2002-08-30)

D3: US-B1-6 339 889 (BAUER PAUL F ET AL) 22 January 2002 (2002-01-22)

D4: EP-A-1 001 403 (SCHMIDT KEVIN) 17 May 2000 (2000-05-17)

D5: US-A-5 845 423 (HICKS CHARLES H) 8 December 1998 (1998-12-08)

3). Claim 1:

The present application concerns an advertising panel characterised by the fact that it is constructed from plastic mesh which allows passage of air there through. This mesh

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB02/02730 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

sheet is attached to a supporting construction, or a vehicle, via a thicker longitudinal edge which slides into a slot on the support structure.

The advantage of the claimed construction over conventional display panels is that the free air passage through the open mesh structure reduces any aerodynamic load on the panel, therefore allowing the panel to be held simply by elongate, slot type fasteners along the longitudinal edges of the panel. With a closed material structure any aerodynamic load, whether caused by wind or by the panel being attached to a moving vehicle, would necessitate more complex fixing and tensioning means to prevent the panel from flapping and lifting.

Claim 36:

The method claim also incorporates the essential inventive features described above, namely the air-permeable plastic mesh substrate and the elongated slot-type fastening, which distinguish this invention from the cited prior art of the ISR.

- 3.1). Documents D1 and D2 are both published after the now relevant priority date of this application. It is to be noted that, according to the applicant's letter of 17.09.02, the priority is now only claimed from the UK patent No 0203209.2, dated 12.02.02. The priority date of this application is therefore 12.02.02, and not 12.12.02 as stated in the ISR.
- 3.2). Concerning the remaining documents of the ISR, none of the documents D3-D5 disclose or suggest the use of air permeable plastic mesh sheeting as the basis of an advertising panel, which necessarily leads in D3 and D5 to complex spring, or other, tensioning means along both axes of the panel via special straps to avoid the aerodynamic load. D5 also suggests the technique of wrappling the panel around the edges of the support/vehicle to avoid aerodynamic lift a measure obviated by the apparatus according to claim 1.

Other comments:

Contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT, the claims do not define the relevant subject-matter in terms of a single independent claim in each category, followed by dependent claims covering features which are merely optional in accordance with Rule 6.4 PCT. This should be rectified with the next response.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB02/02730 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT.

The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses in accordance with Rule 6.2(b) PCT.